

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/325,143 | 06/03/1999 | CHIN-HUI LEE | LEE22-1 | 2458 | |
| 47394 | 7590 06/29/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| HITT GAINES, PC | | | GAUTHIER, GERALD | | |
| LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES INC. PO BOX 832570 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | N, TX 75083 | | 2645 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/29/200 | 5 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Nation of About dominant | 09/325,143 | LEE, CHIN-HUI | | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Gerald Gauthier | 2645 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | • | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Moreous period for reply (including a total extension of time of) | failing or Transmission dated |), which is after the expiration of the | | | | |
| (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does | not constitute a proper reply under 3 | 7 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C | Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | | |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 | | the statutory period of three months | | | | |
| (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | e of \$ is due. | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | | |
| (c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | rired by, and within the three-month p | period set in, the Notice of | | | | |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | smission dated), which is | | | | |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | . attorney or agent of record, the ass | ignee of the entire interest, or all of | | | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity under 37 CFR | | | | |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | | e the period for seeking court review | | | | |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | | | | | |
| The office of Joel Justice confirms the case being al | SUPER | FAN TSANG VISORY PATENT EXAMINER HNOLOGY CENTER 2600 | | | | |